

Message

From: Donohue, Joyce [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BB5340EC745149EDBF80D2B8B2F9B919-JDONOHUE]
Sent: 2/22/2018 6:03:09 PM
To: Glassmeyer, Susan [glassmeyer.susan@epa.gov]; Benson, Bob [Benson.Bob@epa.gov]; Simmons, Jane [Simmons.Jane@epa.gov]; Ed Furlong [efurlong@usgs.gov]; dwkolpin@usgs.gov; Scott Boone [sboone@mscl.msstate.edu]
Subject: RE: Call to discuss PFAS paper- focus on health section
Attachments: Hu et al., 2016-airports waste water treatment plants.pdf; Barzen-Hanson 2017-PFAS AFFF.pdf

From: Glassmeyer, Susan
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2018 10:29 AM
To: Benson, Bob <Benson.Bob@epa.gov>; Donohue, Joyce <Donohue.Joyce@epa.gov>; Simmons, Jane <Simmons.Jane@epa.gov>; Ed Furlong <efurlong@usgs.gov>; dwkolpin@usgs.gov; Scott Boone <sboone@mscl.msstate.edu>
Subject: RE: Call to discuss PFAS paper- focus on health section

Hey everyone,

I wanted to pass along Joyce's edits prior to our discussion today.

I think there is firm consensus to remove the calculations based on the 2009 advisory levels (200/400 versus current 70), but I would like to talk more about doing any sort of analysis of the sum of all PFASs (separated by acids and sulfonates) or if we should just scrap that section, too.

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Glassmeyer, Susan
Sent: Monday, February 12, 2018 2:30 PM
To: Glassmeyer, Susan; Benson, Bob; Donohue, Joyce; Simmons, Jane; Edward T Furlong; Dana Kolpin; Scott Boone
Subject: Call to discuss PFAS paper- focus on health section
When: Thursday, February 22, 2018 12:00 PM-1:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
Where: 202-991-0477 conf ID 4210591

Group discussion on how much (or how little) of the paper as written we should retain, and what modifications should be made.

S